

Memorandum



10

Date: February 27, 2015
To: Edward Randolph
Director of Energy Division
From: **Public Utilities Commission—** Kayode Kajopaiye, Branch Chief
San Francisco Division of Water and Audits
Subject: Southern California Edison Advice Letter 3127-E
Quarterly Procurement Plan Compliance Report for the Third Quarter of 2014

Based on the results of its audit, the Utility Audit, Finance and Compliance Branch (UAFCB), of the Division of Water and Audits, did not find any material reasons for Energy Division (ED) to deny the approval of Southern California Edison's (SCE) Advice Letter No. (AL) 3127-E. The procurement transactions that SCE executed during the third quarter of 2014 (Q3), that UAFCB examined demonstrated, in all material respects, compliance with certain aspects of procurement-related state law and California Public Utilities Commission (Commission or CPUC) directives. The UAFCB assesses compliance in accordance with agreed-upon procedures with ED and does not assess compliance with all aspects of the procurement-related state law or those directives. In addition, SCE's transactions conducted in the Integrated Forward Market (IFM) and the Residual Unit Commitment Market (RUC) are outside the scope of UAFCB's audits.

A. Summary of Negative Audit Findings:

UAFCB does not have any negative audit findings with respect to SCE's Q3 Quarterly Compliance Report (QCR) filing.

B. Background:

As required by D.02-10-062, OP 8 and clarified in D.03-12-062, Pacific Gas and Electric Company (PG&E), San Diego Gas & Electric Company (SDG&E), and SCE must each submit a Quarterly Compliance Report (QCR) for all transactions of less than five years duration executed in the quarter. ED requested that the UAFCB conduct compliance audits of these utilities' QCR filings.

UAFCB conducts the quarterly procurement audits based on procedures specified by ED, and as such these examinations are by design agreed-upon procedures. ED specified which aspects of the utilities' Commission-approved procurement plans, AB 57 procurement rules and several procurement-related rulings and decisions to test for compliance. The directives of the decisions and rulings ED chose to test for compliance include, but are not limited to, D.02-10-062, D.03-06-076, D.03-12-062, D.04-12-048, D.07-12-052, D.08-11-008, and D.12-01-033. UAFCB, however, does not test all of the transactions that the utilities include in their QCR.

C. Conclusion:

SCE's Q3 procurement transactions for electricity and natural gas that the UAFCB examined were, in material respects, in compliance with the aspects of SCE's Commission-approved procurement plan and relevant Commission decisions that the UAFCB tested compliance with. SCE's Q3 transactions that the UAFCB examined, in material respects, appear to be complete, accurate and properly authorized by its management.

SCE QCR Audit
Third Quarter of 2014
February 27, 2015

If you have any questions concerning UAFCB's audit, please contact Tracy Fok at (415) 703-3122.

cc: Rami Kahlon, Director, Division of Water and Audits
Judith Ikle, Energy Division
Michele Kito, Energy Division
Lily Chow, Energy Division
Donna Wagoner, Division of Water and Audits
Tracy Fok, Division of Water and Audits