

Part I:

Pre-Application Reports Reporting:

a- Total since Rule 21 Revision in September 2012 (9/13/12 – 6/30/14) 128	b- Total for Second Quarter of 2014 (4/1/14 - 6/30/14): 31
--	---

- i. Number requested: 128
- ii. Number issued: 126
- iii. Number *currently in process*: 1 (received 7/24/2014)
- iv. Number withdrawn (if any): 2

Rule 21 Fast Track Reporting:

Rule 21 Fast Track applications received since 9/13/2012 – 6/30/14	Rule 21 Fast Track applications for First Quarter 2014 (4/1/14 - 6/30/14)
---	--

Initial Review

- a. Number of Fast Track Applications received for **all** types of generating facilities:

183¹	7²
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

- b. Number of Fast Track applications received for exporting generating facilities only (excluding Net Energy Metering and non-export):

¹ For purposes of this compliance update and going forward, SCE is now including Rule 21 applications that indicate a customer is seeking a Non-Export, Inadvertent Export or Multiple Tariff agreements as part of this total.

² For purposes of this compliance update and going forward, SCE is now including Rule 21 applications that indicate a customer is seeking a Non-Export, Inadvertent Export or Multiple Tariff agreements as part of this total.

93 **1**
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14 2Q 2014

- c. Number of Fast Track applications for exporting generating facilities that successfully passed Initial Review, where success is defined by passing all Initial Review screens:

15 **0**
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14 2Q 2014

- d. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities currently being evaluated in Initial Review.

0
2Q 2014

- e. Number of Fast Track applications for exporting generating facilities that failed Initial Review:
 - i. If the total set out in B does not equal the totals set out in C + D, please explain why:

42 **1**
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14 2Q 2014

For the 9/13/2012 to 6/30/2014 period: From the 93 Fast Track requests received since 9/13/2012, 15 passed the Initial Review Screens, 4 were not eligible for Fast Track (greater than 3MW), 30 projects withdrew prior to completing the Initial Review, 44 failed the Initial Review Screens.

For the 4/1/2014 to 6/30/2014 period: Only 1 Fast Track request was received in relation to exporting projects during Q2-2014 and the project failed the Initial Review Screens. No Q2-2014 projects are pending Initial Review

- f. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities for which a Results Meeting following Initial Review has taken place:

32 **1**
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14 2Q 2014

- g. Please indicate the top three most frequently failed Initial Review screens in descending order.

1. Screen M (Aggregate generation 15% larger than line section peak load)
2. Screen N (Penetration Test)
3. Screen F (Short Circuit Current Contribution)

- h. If possible, please write three recommendations describing how an interconnection customer might apply for Fast Track in a way that would avoid failing the top three most frequently failed screens:

1. Use SCE’s Interconnection maps and locate projects in green zones and in accordance with the available capacity as identified in the green zone;
2. Submit a Pre-Application Report Request for the proposed generator project;

3. Interconnect via non-export with certified technology.

Supplemental Review

- i. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities that have requested Supplemental Review after failing Initial Review.

14	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

- j. Number of Fast Track Applications for exporting generating facilities currently being evaluated in Supplemental Review.

0
2Q 2014

- k. Number of Fast Track Applications that have successfully passed Supplemental Review, where success is defined as passing all screens:

11	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

- l. Number of Fast Track applications that successfully passed Supplemental Review and received a GIA³:

11	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

All 11 projects that have passed Supplemental Review were tendered a draft Generator Interconnection Agreement. Of those 11 projects, 5 projects continue negotiating their Interconnection Agreements.

- m. Number of Fast Track Applications that withdrew before supplemental review began:

23	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

- n. Number of Fast Track projects withdrew after supplemental review began:

1	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

- o. please indicate the two most frequently failed Supplemental review screens:

Answer provided applies to both quarter review and from Rule 21 reform to 6/30/14

³ For purposes of this compliance response and going forward, SCE assumes that “receiving a GIA” should be interpreted as SCE tendering an interconnection agreement to the customer for their review.

1. Screen M (Aggregate generation 15% larger than line section peak load);
2. Screen N (Penetration Test).

p. If possible, please indicate 2 recommendations describing how a developer might request a fast track interconnection that would avoid failing the two most frequently failed supplemental review screens.

Please refer to answer provided for Part (h).

q. Number of Fast Track projects that signed GIAs:

12	2
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

Distribution Group Study Detailed Process:

A distribution group study is appropriate in certain situations, such as when multiple projects apply to interconnect within close proximity. A group study allows the projects to be studied together in order to equitably allocate distribution upgrade costs.

Q2, 2014 – There are 0 number of active groups. 0 is the number of groups that contain more than one interconnection request (a project continues to be included in this account until the project reaches COD).

Ombudsman Role and Dispute Resolution Reporting:

a. Number of phone calls that the Ombudsman has received from September 2012 to date (calls related to Rule 21 issues that were within the Ombudsman’s responsibilities or function):

0	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

b. Number of emails the Ombudsman has received from September 2012 to date:

60	1
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

c. Number of cases that the Ombudsman took an active role in handling: (“active role” means the Ombudsman sought out information from another source to provide that information to an interconnection customer or other third party)

10	0
From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

d. Number of disputes initiated in writing by a Party that invokes Rule 21, Section K.2 Dispute Resolution Procedures (DRP).

15	1
-----------	----------

	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014
e.	Number of disputes resolved within 45 calendar days of the original notice.	
	9	0
	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014
f.	Number of disputes where an additional 45 days was sought for resolution (second part of original question e).	
	3	0
	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014
g.	Number of disputes mediated by a member of the CPUC's ALJ Division:	
	0	0
	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014
h.	Number of disputes mediated by an outside third-party mediator:	
	0	0
	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014
i.	Number of disputes in which a Formal Complaint has been filed at the CPUC and served on the IOU:	
	2	1
	From Rule 21 Reform to 6/30/14	2Q 2014

Accounting of Exemptions from Rule 21 Interconnection Fees, Including the Value of Those Exemptions:

In accordance with the Public Utilities Code Section 2827 and D.02-03-057, NEM customer generators are exempt from interconnection application fees, supplemental review fees, costs for distribution upgrades and standby charges. The accounting of NEM interconnection exemptions, starting in November 2013, will be reported to the Commission and the service list of R.12-11-005 proceeding pursuant to the Commission's Resolution E-4610 and Decision (D.) 14-05-033 on September 19, 2014 and will be updated on June 30, 2015.⁴

⁴ Please note, the IOUs filed an Advice Letter on June 23, 2014, in compliance with D.14-05-033 which outlines the NEM cost categories currently being tracked and will be reported.